

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,250	09/09/2003	Jaap Herman van't Hoff	7913-038-999	4047
7590 06/20/2006		·	EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. RIVELL, JOHN A		JOHN A		
1425 K Street,	N.W.			
11th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D	OC 20005-3500		3753	

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	7 .pp	
Advisory Action	10/657,250	VAN'T HOFF
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Rivell	3753

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/657,250	VAN'T HOFF, JAAP HERMAN	VAN'T HOFF, JAAP HERMAN		
Examiner	Art Unit			
John Rivell	3753			

before the rilling of all Appeal brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Rivell	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant							
must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 June 2006</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			ecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	ow);	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>"trapeziodal" new matter</u> . (See 37 CFR 1."	· •	jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.		e entered and an exp	lanation of how				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: 1-10 have been canceled.</li> <li>.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	John Rivell Primary Examiner	el				
		Art Unit: 3753					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)